

## **Translating Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Sports-Related Concussion Into Practice**

Alex Donaldson, Joshua Newton, Paul McCrory, Peta White, Gavin Davis, Michael Makdissi and Caroline F. Finch

*AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LIFESTYLE MEDICINE* published online 19 June 2014

DOI: 10.1177/1559827614538751

The online version of this article can be found at:

<http://ajl.sagepub.com/content/early/2014/06/18/1559827614538751>

---

Published by:



<http://www.sagepublications.com>

**Additional services and information for *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine* can be found at:**

**Email Alerts:** <http://ajl.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts>

**Subscriptions:** <http://ajl.sagepub.com/subscriptions>

**Reprints:** <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav>

**Permissions:** <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>

>> [OnlineFirst Version of Record](#) - Jun 19, 2014

[What is This?](#)

Alex Donaldson, DHSc, Joshua Newton, PhD, Paul McCrory, MBBS, PhD, Peta White, PhD, Gavin Davis, MBBS, FRACS, Michael Makdissi, MBBS, PhD, and Caroline F. Finch, PhD

# Translating Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Sports-Related Concussion Into Practice

**Abstract:** *Sports injuries are a significant clinical and public health concern. There is a growing call to improve the translation of available evidence-based and expert-informed sports injury prevention interventions into sustained use in practice by physicians and others (eg, athletic trainers, coaches, and parents) who care for injured athletes. This article provides a brief overview of the current sport injury prevention implementation literature before focusing specifically on the translation of guidelines (including consensus and position statements) developed to assist physicians and others diagnose and manage athletes with sport-related concussion and the associated return-to-play decisions. The outcomes of more than 20 published studies indicate that physician, athletic trainer, coach, parent, and athlete knowledge, use of, and compliance with sport-related concussion guidelines are limited. More concerted, coordinated, and theory-informed efforts are required to facilitate the widespread dissemination, translation, and implementation of such guidelines. An example is provided of how*

*implementation drivers could be used to inform the development of a comprehensive, multilevel implementation strategy targeting the individual, organizational, and system-level changes necessary to support the translation of available sport-related concussion guidelines in both the clinical and sports settings.*

**Keywords:** sports injury; concussion guidelines; translation; implementation

common<sup>4,5</sup> and costly<sup>6,7</sup> barrier to ongoing participation in physical activity<sup>8,9</sup> and a concern for parents of young participants.<sup>10</sup> As such, the prevention of sport-related injuries should be a priority for physicians, scientists, and sports administrators alike.<sup>11,12</sup>

## The Need for Better Implementation

The evidence-base concerning what works to prevent many common sports-

 . . . effective implementation strategies are needed to complement existing evidence-based interventions in order to significantly reduce the incidence and severity of sports-related injuries. 

**W**hile regular participation in sport and physical activity confers health benefits,<sup>1</sup> injuries sustained during this activity can pose significant public health and clinical problems.<sup>2,3</sup> Sports injuries are a

related injuries is large and growing.<sup>13-17</sup> However, the translation of this evidence into widespread use is lagging.<sup>18</sup> Two recent reviews of sports injury prevention interventions have articulated the importance of focusing attention on

DOI: 10.1177/1559827614538751. Manuscript received November 26, 2013; revised January 20, 2014; accepted March 6, 2014. From Australian Centre for Research into Injury in Sport and its Prevention (ACRISP), Federation University Australia, Ballarat, Victoria, Australia (AD, PW, CFF, PM); Deakin University, Burwood, Victoria, Australia (JN); The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, Melbourne Brain Centre, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia (PM, GD, MM); and Department of Neurosurgery, Cabrini Hospital, Malvern, Victoria, Australia (GD). Address correspondence to Alex Donaldson, DHSc, Australian Centre for Research into Injury in Sport and its Prevention (ACRISP), Federation University Australia—SMB Campus, PO Box 663, Ballarat, Victoria 3353, Australia; e-mail: a.donaldson@federation.edu.au.

For reprints and permissions queries, please visit SAGE's Web site at <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>.

Copyright © 2014 The Author(s)

disseminating and implementing efficacious interventions in order to make a significant impact on the public health burden of sports injuries.<sup>13,15</sup> Effectively undertaking such knowledge dissemination and translation activities requires an understanding of the implementation setting (context, culture, and capacity), the translation of what is already known into formats (guidelines, programs, resources, policies, etc) that can be accessed and used by target audiences, and the development and operationalization of effective implementation plans.<sup>19-21</sup>

Despite the importance of implementation activities to maximizing the public health outcomes of sports injury prevention research, historically, little emphasis has been placed on exploring ways to facilitate the implementation of interventions in real-world contexts.<sup>22-24</sup> This began to change in the mid to late 2000s, particularly in terms of research exploring how best to maximize intervention uptake among target audiences.<sup>20,22,25</sup> The outputs of this research can be grouped into 3 broad categories:

1. Editorials, commentaries, and study protocols either calling for more and better implementation research or outlining how such research is being, or could be, conducted.<sup>18,21,26-36</sup> This body of work has been underpinned by frameworks and theories from health promotion,<sup>37-39</sup> behavioral science,<sup>40,41</sup> and more recently, implementation science.<sup>26,42,43</sup>
2. Frameworks to guide efforts to translate knowledge and implement sports injury prevention interventions.<sup>19,20,26,44-47</sup>
3. Descriptions and evaluations of implementation efforts<sup>48-52</sup> and plans.<sup>53,54</sup> This work includes identifying factors that influence implementation activities and outcomes<sup>55-59</sup> as well as assessing whether physicians, athletes, and coaches know about, or adhere to sports injury prevention interventions, recommendations and guidelines

across a range of sports and settings.<sup>57,60-86</sup>

Several common themes have emerged from this body of literature. First, there is now general acknowledgment that effective implementation strategies are needed to complement existing evidence-based interventions in order to significantly reduce the incidence and severity of sports-related injuries. Second, encouraging medical personnel (family, emergency and sports physicians, and athletic trainers)<sup>66,67,78-80,87</sup> and sports participants (athletes and coaches)<sup>†</sup> to comply with or use evidence-base injury prevention interventions, guidelines, and regulations can be challenging. Finally, the implementation of sports injury prevention interventions could benefit significantly from what is already known and applied in the fields of health promotion, behavioral science, and implementation science.<sup>‡</sup> This point is particularly pertinent with respect to the need to do more than just educate or disseminate information about evidence-based interventions among physicians, coaches, and athletes.<sup>94</sup>

Although there is a clear need to improve the translation of evidence-based injury prevention interventions into sustained practice across all injury issues and sporting contexts, the remainder of this paper will focus specifically on the translation of diagnosis, management, and return-to-play guidelines for sport-related concussion into practice among physicians and those involved in sport. Guidelines can be a useful tool for improving the consistency and efficiency of care and for closing the gap between clinical practice and what the scientific evidence supports.<sup>95-97</sup> As such, consistent use of guidelines has the potential to improve the quality of patient care, reduce the risk of medical negligence, and be cost effective for the healthcare system.<sup>95,96</sup> However, it

<sup>†</sup>References 56, 62, 63, 65, 88, 89.

<sup>‡</sup>References 18, 21, 26, 28, 29, 36, 41, 53, 90-93.

should be kept in mind that a lack of knowledge or use of available guidelines is not necessarily an indication of poor or inappropriate clinical care.

### Enhancing the Use of, and Compliance With, Sport-Related Concussion Diagnosis, Management, and Return-to-Play Guidelines

Sport-related concussion is a major public health and clinical concern,<sup>98</sup> with an estimated 1.6 million to 3.8 million sports-related traumatic brain injuries (including those who do, and do not, seek medical attention) each year in the United States.<sup>99</sup> However, these figures may underestimate the true incidence by 6 to 10 times because sport-related concussion is often unrecognized by athletes and is often not reported to doctors.<sup>100</sup>

Family physicians are important carers of concussed athletes<sup>67,80,101</sup> and disseminators of concussion knowledge,<sup>68,102</sup> and multiple clinical guidelines, consensus and position statements (hereafter collectively referred to as guidelines) are available to help them make concussion-related diagnostic, management, and return-to-play decisions. For example, it has been estimated that more than 25 sport-related concussion severity grading scales were available in 2001<sup>103</sup> and that approximately 20 concussion management guidelines were published in the 2 decades prior to 2009.<sup>104</sup> New or updated guidelines continue to emerge to reflect developments in the scientific literature, with the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine,<sup>105</sup> the American Academy of Neurology,<sup>106</sup> and the Concussion in Sport Group (CISG)<sup>107</sup> each publishing new or updated concussion guidelines or position statements in 2013.

Over the past decade, 4 CISG meetings have been held to reach consensus about the understanding and management of concussion in sport.<sup>107-110</sup> At the 2001 meeting in Vienna, concussion was

defined and it was recommended that concussion management strategies be based on an individualized, objective assessment of clinical and cognitive recovery postinjury.<sup>108</sup> From 2001 onward, the CISG stressed that all concussions should be assessed by a medical doctor both at the time of injury and when considering returning-to-play. One of the outcomes of the 2004 Prague CISG meeting was the development of a standardized concussion assessment tool (the SCAT) to help medical personnel diagnose, assess, and manage concussions. A concussion “rehabilitation” protocol was also introduced for a graded return-to-play program.<sup>109</sup> From 2004, it was also recommended that no concussed athlete should return to their sport on the day of injury, although considerations pertaining to the particular circumstances of professional sport were elaborated. At the 2008 meeting, the SCAT2 was further developed to reflect research highlighting the importance of assessing balance and conducting more detailed screening of brain function. In addition, a sideline version of the SCAT2 was developed to help lay people identify concussions on the field of play. These tools were further modified based on published research and testing at the 2013 meeting, and the SCAT3, Child SCAT3, and Concussion Recognition Tool were subsequently produced.<sup>107</sup>

This proliferation of published guidelines has been matched by, and often used to inform, the development of fact sheets, position statements, policies, and guidelines developed by government agencies (eg, US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention <http://www.cdc.gov/concussion/sports/index.html>), professional associations (eg, College of Family Physicians of Canada [http://www.cfpc.ca/uploadedFiles/Health\\_Policy/\\_PDFs/Position\\_Statement\\_Concussions\\_Approved%20Feb2012\\_ENG.pdf](http://www.cfpc.ca/uploadedFiles/Health_Policy/_PDFs/Position_Statement_Concussions_Approved%20Feb2012_ENG.pdf)), and national and international sports governing bodies. In addition, 49 US states now have legislation governing the management of concussion in sport. These laws generally focus on (a) educating coaches, parents, and athletes

about concussion and (b) medically assessing the concussed athlete.<sup>111,112</sup>

To complement the profusion of concussion guidelines, research has explored whether physicians and others who treat patients with sports-related concussion are aware of these guidelines, have used them in their practice, or whether their practice is in line with guideline recommendations. Early investigations found mixed results across a variety of settings and target groups. For example, only 30% of patients from the Children’s Hospital of Alabama received discharge instructions consistent with those recommended in Cantu’s 1986 guidelines<sup>113</sup> following a diagnosis of a sports-related closed head injury.<sup>114</sup> More positive findings were reported by Ferrara et al,<sup>115</sup> with around 82% of attendees at a concussion-specific mini-course at the 1999 National Athletic Trainers’ Association Annual Meeting and Clinical Symposia reporting using a concussion grading scale. However, only 19% reported using some form of return-to-play guidelines.<sup>115</sup>

Equivalent lines of research have been conducted among athletes. Only half of the players from teams participating in the 2000 New Zealand national schools rugby union competition were aware of the International Rugby Board (IRB) concussion guidelines that applied to them, and only 60% correctly identified the IRB-mandated 3-week stand down period following a concussion.<sup>116</sup> This is despite the fact that this stand down period has been a law of the game since 1968. Furthermore, of the 62% who suspected that they had been concussed, just more than half reported their suspicions to a doctor or sports (first aid) medic, more than half made their own return-to-play decision, and only 22% received medical clearance before returning to play.<sup>116</sup> A similar lack of compliance with return-to-play regulations following concussion was identified more recently among Australian schoolboy and community rugby union players.<sup>62</sup>

A search of the PubMed, Scopus (Elsevier), SPORTDiscus (EBSCO), and Google Scholar databases (using the

terms *sport AND concussion AND guideline*) (1980-2013 inclusive) identified that, since this early research, more than 20 studies examining knowledge of, or compliance with, concussion guidelines have been published in the peer-review scientific literature. This search identified studies that were predominately cross-sectional in nature, investigated guideline knowledge and compliance among a range of stakeholders, including physicians, athletic trainers, coaches, athletes, and parents across a variety of sports. A summary of these studies appears in Table 1.

Although most of the published research investigating physician knowledge, and use of, concussion guidelines has been conducted in North America, it appears that the lack of knowledge and use of readily available sport-related concussion guidelines is not restricted to this region. For example, a 2013 study of club medical officers for English League football (soccer) teams identified that more than 25% had not heard of the 2008 CISG consensus statement and more than 50% did not routinely follow the guidelines in spite of the dissemination of information about these guidelines by the Fédération Internationale de Football Association (FIFA), the international governing body in this sport.<sup>78</sup>

In 2013, the authors of this review conducted an online survey of a small sample (n = 37) of Australian general practitioners (family physicians) and the main findings are also summarized in Table 1. Although 84% of the responding physicians had diagnosed and/or managed patients with a sport-related concussion (51% in the previous 12 months), 46% were not aware of any specific guidelines for the diagnosis and/or management of sport-related concussion. Of those who were aware of such guidelines, 40% reported that they were aware of the Sports Medicine Australia (Australia’s peak national umbrella body for sports medicine and sports science) Smartplay guidelines, 25% were aware of one or more of the CISG consensus statements, and 5% each were

**Table 1.**

Summary of Studies of the Knowledge of, and Compliance With, Concussion Diagnosis, Management and Return-to-Play (RTP) Guidelines Among Physicians, Others Who Provide Medical Services in Sports Settings, and Sports Coaches, Athletes, and Parents.

Physicians and Others Who Provide Medical Services				
Authors and Year	Study Design	Sample/Target	Guidelines	Finding
Genuardi and King (1995) <sup>114</sup>	Patient record examination	Patients discharged with a diagnosis of a closed head injury or concussion (n = 33), US	Cantu 1986 CMSG 1991	30% of patients received appropriate discharge instructions
Bazarian et al (2001) <sup>81</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Emergency physicians, emergency nurse practitioners, pediatricians, pediatric nurse practitioners, family practitioners, and family practice nurse practitioners (n = 1442), US	CMSG 1991	8% responded correctly for grade 1 concussion scenario, 56% for grade 2 scenario and 28% for grade 3 scenario
Ferrara et al (2001) <sup>115</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Attendees at the 1999 National Athletic Trainers' Association (NATA) Annual Meeting and Clinical Symposia (n = 339), US	AAN DNS Cantu DNS CMSG DNS	Evaluated an average of seven concussions per year  Athletic trainers (34%) and team physicians (40%) primarily responsible for RTP clinical decision making  28% used the CMSG, 19% Cantu, and 13% AAN  >18% did not use a concussion grading scale or RTP guideline
Notebaert and Guskiewicz (2005) <sup>87</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Certified athletic trainers and members of the NATA (n = 2750), US	NATA 2004 AAN 1997 Cantu 2001 CMSG 1994	Diagnosed an average of 8 concussions per year  3% cover all three areas recommended by NATA, 24% used at least two methods, and 80% used at least 1 method  30% used AAN, 21% CMSG, 20% Cantu, 13% some combination of or a site-specific RTP guidelines  9% did not using any RTP guidelines
Pleacher and Dexter (2006) <sup>80</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Pediatricians, family doctors, family nurse practitioners, and doctor's assistants (n = 367), US	AAN 1997 CMSG 1991 Cantu 1986	58% treated 1-4 patients with concussion in the preceding year  68% used published guidelines (of these AAN 55%, 24% CMSG, and 13% Cantu)  32% did not use published guidelines
Covassin et al (2009) <sup>117</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Program directors and certified athletic trainers (n = 513) holding educational positions in accredited athletic training programs, US	NATA 2004 AAN 1997 Cantu 2001 CMSG 1994	80% taught NATA guidelines, Cantu 61%, CMSG 52%, AAN 42%, and CMSG 15%  61% used NATA guidelines Cantu 28%, CMSG 26%, AAN 24%, and 2001 CMSG 12% to assess and manage concussion

*(continued)*

**Table 1. (continued)**

Physicians and Others Who Provide Medical Services				
Authors and Year	Study Design	Sample/Target	Guidelines	Finding
			CISG 2001	47% used NATA, AAN 15%, Cantu 12%, CMSG 11% and CISG 11% for RTP decisions  66% had not heard of CISG guidelines
Giebel et al (2011) <sup>79</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Emergency physicians and emergency medicine residents (n = 73), US	AAN (DNS) Cantu (DNS) CMSG (DNS)	43% evaluated 6-15 patients with sports-related concussions annually; 28% evaluated >15  23% used nationally recognized guideline to evaluate sports-related concussions (10% used AAN, 8% Cantu)
Boggild and Tator (2012) <sup>118</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Graduating university medical students (n = 222) and neurology / neurosurgery residents (n = 80), Canada	Some survey items based on CISG 2008	48% and 57% did not recognize chronic traumatic encephalopathy and second impact syndrome, respectively as possible consequences of repetitive concussions  24% did not think that "every concussed individual should see a physician"
Burke et al (2012) <sup>119</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Medical schools (n = 14), Canada	None specified	29% provided concussion-specific education  43% offered head injury education that incorporated a concussion component  29% did not offer any concussion education
Price et al (2012) <sup>78</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Club Medical Officers of all (n = 92) English Football Association league clubs, UK	CISG 2008	28% had not heard of guidelines  56% did not follow guidelines  21% recorded an approved preseason cognitive score  42% completed a recommended postconcussion assessment  44% regularly enforced a rest period that did not meet guidelines
Chinn and Porter (2013) <sup>66</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Community College head athletic trainers (n = 64), US	NATA 2004	71% did not conduct baseline testing  81% and 85%, respectively, used self-report symptoms checklist for sideline concussion assessment and RTP  32% used objective cognitive assessments at sideline and to determine RTP  10% used computerized cognitive assessment as part of RTP process
Lebrun et al (2013) <sup>67</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Family physicians, Canada (CAN) (n = 80) and US (n = 109)	CISG 2008	96% CAN, 95% US diagnosed and treated concussions  Sport was main etiology of concussions (53% CAN, 77% US)

*(continued)*

Table 1. (continued)

Physicians and Others Who Provide Medical Services				
Authors and Year	Study Design	Sample/Target	Guidelines	Finding
				9% US physicians used CISG guidelines >50% had recent sport-related concussions CME and 84% to 94% wanted more concussions education
White et al (2013) <sup>a</sup>	Cross-sectional online survey	General practitioners (n = 37), Australia	CISG 2008  CISG 2013	90% used clinical assessment for initial concussion assessment and RTP decision  25% to 30% used SCAT1 or SCAT2, and balance testing for initial concussion assessment and RTP decision  11% used outdated concussion grading system  10% used neurocognitive testing for RTP decision  22% used RTP guidelines  25% were aware of CISG consensus statements  30% would allow an athlete to RTP in the same game  70% believed that an athlete should retire after 3 concussions in 1 year  50% believed that an athlete who has 2-4 concussions should retire from sport
Knowledge of, and compliance with, concussion management guidelines among players, coaches, and parents				
Sye et al (2006) <sup>116</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	High school rugby players (n = 477), New Zealand	International Rugby Board (IRB), accessed on line 2006	50% were aware of concussion guidelines and 60% identified the governing body mandated stand down period  50% sourced concussion knowledge from teachers/coaches, 42% doctors and sports (first aid) medics, 24% other players, 19% television and the 17% Accident Compensation Corporation/New Zealand Rugby Union  Of the 62% who suspected that they had been concussed, 60% reported this to a coach, 53% a doctor or sports (first aid) medic, 46% a parent/caregiver or 31% to teammates; 20% unreported  52% made their own RTP decision, 22% returned after medical clearance
Guilmette et al (2007) <sup>102</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	High school head football coaches (n = 109) New England, US	Some survey items based on <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NATA 2004</li> <li>• CDC 2005</li> <li>• National Federation for State High School Association, accessed on line 2006</li> </ul>	80% received concussion information from coaching associations 70% to 95% would consult a health care professional before allowing players to RTP

(continued)

Table 1. (continued)

Physicians and Others Who Provide Medical Services				
Authors and Year	Study Design	Sample/Target	Guidelines	Finding
McLeod et al (2007) <sup>120</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Active youth sports coaches (n = 156), country not specified	None specified	60% identified common concussion symptoms 42% thought concussion required loss of consciousness 32% did not think grade 1 concussion required removal from competition 26% would let symptomatic athlete RTP
Cusimano et al (2009) <sup>121</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Youth ice hockey players (n = 267), coaches, trainers, and parents (total adults n = 142), Canada	None specified	Significant number held misconceptions about concussion in hockey, which could lead to serious health consequences
O'Donoghue et al (2009) <sup>122</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Coaches of 17 different high school sports (n = 126), US	Survey based on NATA 2004 CDC 2005	Coaches demonstrated moderate knowledge of sport-related concussion (84%) Area of greatest knowledge was recognition (92%); least was management (79%)
Sullivan et al (2009) <sup>123</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Parents (n = 200) of male high school rugby union players attending matches, New Zealand	Accident Compensation Corporation, accessed on line 2006	83% believed they could recognize concussion 96% aware of risks of continuing to play while concussed 51% aware of RTP guidelines 81% would insist on teenager seeing doctor before RTP
Yard and Comstock (2009) <sup>69</sup>	Prospective cohort study	Injury reports submitted by athletic trainers at 100 nationally representative high schools, US	AAN 1997 CISG 2004	At least 41% and 15% of concussed athletes RTP prematurely under AAN and CISG RTP guidelines, respectively
Broglio et al (2010) <sup>124</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Athletes, coaches, and medical staff in club level soccer (n = 342), Italy	None specified	10% of athletes sustained a concussion in past year (62% not reported) Coaches consistently identified non-concussion related symptoms (99%), but not concussion symptoms (39%) Most understood loss of consciousness is not sole indicator of injury (83%) Medical staff relied on clinical exam (92%) and athlete symptom reports (92%) to make concussion diagnosis and RTP decision Little use of neurocognitive (17%) or balance (0%) testing
Boffano et al (2011) <sup>125</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Amateur rugby union players (n = 65), Italy	None specified	39% reported not being informed about concussion symptoms 28% of "uninformed" players thought they could RTP in same match 8% of 'informed' players though they could RTP immediately

(continued)

Table 1. (continued)

Physicians and Others Who Provide Medical Services				
Authors and Year	Study Design	Sample/Target	Guidelines	Finding
Mrazik et al (2011) <sup>68</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Minor hockey associations coaches (n = 178), Canada	None specified	<p>&gt;90% rated concussions knowledge highly important in their role</p> <p>36% received concussions information from family physicians, 57% of whom viewed the source as very/quite helpful</p> <p>&gt;90% would not allow player to RTP if suspected concussion and presented with common symptoms</p> <p>99% would recommend athlete see family physician after head injury</p>
Bramley et al (2012) <sup>83</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Youth ice hockey coaches (n = 314), US	CISG, 2008 referred to but not explicitly applied	<p>2% would never allow player to RTP in an undefined game</p> <p>81% to 83% would never allow a player to RTP in championship game or high school final</p>
Hollis et al (2012) <sup>62</sup>	Prospective cohort study	Community rugby union players (n = 1958), Australia	IRB, accessed on line 2011	<p>10% sustained <math>\geq 1</math> concussion during follow-up</p> <p>Median number of days before RTP (or training) postconcussion was 3 (range 1-84)</p> <p>78% did not receive RTP advice postconcussion</p> <p>100% who received correct advice did not comply with stand-down regulation</p> <p>87% of concussed players RTP (or training) within 1 week, 91% by 2nd week and 95% by 3rd week</p>
Murphy et al (2012) <sup>126</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	High school varsity football coaches, athletic trainer and athletic directors (n = 59), US	None specified	<p>83% saw between 0 and 10 concussions each season, 12% 11-20, 5% 20+</p> <p>46% used SCAT2</p> <p>31% used neurocognitive testing</p> <p>100% completed concussion training</p>
White et al (2013) <sup>85</sup>	Cross-sectional survey	Australian football and rugby league coaches and sports trainers (n = 916), Australia	Australian Football League and Australian National Rugby League sport-specific guidelines, both based on CISG, 2008	<p>&gt;90% correctly identified 5 of 8 key concussion signs or symptoms</p> <p>&lt;50% recognized increased risk of second concussion following initial concussion</p> <p>Nearly 75% incorrectly believed or were uncertain that scans typically show damage to brain after concussion</p> <p>&lt;25% recognized, and &gt;40% were uncertain that younger players typically take longer to recover from concussion than adults</p>

Abbreviations: CMSG = Colorado Medical Society Guidelines; AAN = American Academy of Neurology; NATA = National Athletic Trainers Association; CISG = Concussion in Sport Group; CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; IRB = International Rugby Board; DNS = date not specified; RTP = return-to-play; CME = continuing medical education.

<sup>a</sup>These data have not been published previously and so are included in full here.

aware of the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine position statement on concussion in sport and the Australian Football League concussion guidelines.

Athletes, parents, and coaches have also been shown to have deficiencies in their concussion knowledge and return-to-play behaviors.<sup>§</sup> What is particularly concerning from a physician's perspective is that these findings highlight that providing coaches and parents with information about sport-related concussion is not enough to change athletes' return-to-play behavior. This is demonstrated by the discrepancy between coaches' and parents' knowledge about what they should do if confronted with a return-to-play decision for a concussed athlete or child,<sup>68,102,123</sup> and what athletes and injury surveillance reports indicate actually happens.<sup>62,69,116</sup>

Despite repeated calls for greater investment in translating the available guidelines into improvements in the diagnosis and management of sports-related concussion,<sup>||</sup> considerable work remains to be done. This situation is not unique to sport-related concussion guidelines. A 2011 systematic review of physicians' use of a broad range of clinical guidelines identified that, although awareness of guidelines was high (90%), the median proportion of respondents who reported adhering to guideline recommendations was 36% (interquartile range 35% to 56%), with similar proportions of "leakage" (around 15%) between each of the 4 stages from guideline awareness to agreement, adoption, and adherence.<sup>96</sup> In addition, a 2010 systematic review of the effectiveness and efficiency of a wide range of clinical guideline dissemination and implementation strategies indicated that most guideline implementation research is not informed by cognitive, behavioral, or organizational theory<sup>127</sup> and the majority of interventions were

<sup>§</sup>References 62, 69, 85, 116, 120, 121, 123.

<sup>||</sup>Reference 33-35, 101, 107, 110.

associated with modest to moderate improvements in care at best.<sup>128</sup>

Although some evaluations of sport-related concussion education initiatives with sports coaches have been published recently,<sup>52,129,130</sup> to date there have been no coordinated, well-planned or theory-informed efforts to disseminate or implement sport-related concussion guidelines with physicians.<sup>33</sup> There have, however, been recent discussions about the potential application of knowledge transfer<sup>34,35</sup> and social marketing principles<sup>33</sup> and the use of social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter<sup>33,131</sup> to enhance the translation of concussion guidelines into changes in practice among physicians and others involved in sport. Interestingly, nearly all the discussion around increasing the uptake and use of concussion guidelines has focused exclusively on improving or increasing education of physicians, sports coaches, and athletes<sup>34,105,107</sup> or embracing new and popular electronic communication channels to better disseminate available guidelines.<sup>33,101</sup> Although efforts in these areas are undoubtedly needed, the widespread dissemination of guidelines has been shown to have limited effect on changing physician behaviour.<sup>95,132,133</sup> There is also considerable evidence in the broader field of implementation science that having access to information, guidelines, policies, education, and training alone are generally ineffective implementation methods, and that longer term multilevel implementation strategies targeting individual, organizational, and system-level changes are usually more effective.<sup>94</sup>

### Using Implementation Science to Drive Sport-Related Concussion Guideline Use and Compliance

Implementation science is "the study of methods to promote the systematic uptake of research findings and other evidence-based practices into routine practice."<sup>134</sup> It is a growing field that draws on evidence from a wide range of disciplines<sup>94,135</sup> and has implications for

everyone interested in bridging the gap between research and practice,<sup>136</sup> including in the field of sports injury prevention.<sup>26</sup> Several models and frameworks outlining productive implementation strategies have emerged,<sup>137-139</sup> but concern has been expressed that such models are often too complex, academic, or time-consuming for physicians and practitioners to use.<sup>140</sup>

One conceptual framework that has emerged from the implementation science literature, and which may be potentially useful for informing future implementation efforts in the context of sport injury prevention, is the notion of implementation drivers developed at the National Implementation Research Network.<sup>26,43</sup> These drivers are based on the common elements of successful implementation programs (including in the field of clinical guidelines)<sup>94</sup> and can be used to leverage improved practitioner competence, and to create more supportive organizational systems and environments for the implementation of new programs, practices, policies, and guidelines. Implementation drivers are independent of the quality of the intervention being implemented<sup>141</sup> and can be broadly classified into 3 categories. *Competency drivers* reflect the capacity of staff or practitioners (eg, physicians, coaches, athletic trainers) to effectively implement the intervention, while *organizational drivers* focus on the organizational processes necessary to facilitate intervention implementation. Finally, *leadership drivers* promote implementation at the start of the process and provide ongoing technical expertise to manage and embed the continued implementation of new initiatives. These drivers work together and can compensate for each other to encourage and support the widespread, high-fidelity implementation of new interventions across settings. These implementation drivers provide a useful starting point for guiding efforts to enhance physician and sports-sector knowledge of, and compliance with, readily available sport-related concussion guidelines.

Table 2 provides a detailed description of how these implementation drivers

**Table 2.**

Example of how the Implementation Drivers Could Be Applied to Enhance the Use of Sport-Related Concussion Guidelines in Clinical and Sports Settings.

Implementation Driver	Purpose of Driver	How driver could be used to improve use of and compliance with, recognized sport-related concussion diagnosis, management and return-to-play guidelines in clinical and sports settings	
		Clinical Setting (eg, Family and Emergency Physicians)	Sports Setting (eg, Schools, Community Clubs, Colleges and Universities)
<b>Competency</b>			
Selection	Select practitioners with the capacity (eg, knowledge, skills, qualifications, personal qualities) to implement the intervention	Include willingness and potential capacity to use guidelines as prerequisite for clinical employment	Establish guideline use specific selection criteria (including referral pathway knowledge) for recruitment of coaches and those who provide clinical services in sports settings
Training	Ensure practitioners know when, where, how and with whom to use the intervention	Incorporate guideline use into initial medical training and job orientation processes for physicians	Integrate guideline use training into mandatory accreditation processes for coaches and those who provide clinical services in sports settings
Coaching	Ensure practitioners can actually use the intervention appropriately in the real world	Appoint a guideline “champion” to conduct on-the-job mentoring of other physicians in how and when to use the guidelines	Include guideline follow up and on-the-job coaching into mandatory reaccreditation processes for coaches and those who provide clinical services in sports settings
Performance assessment	Measure actual intervention delivery performance of practitioners	Have guideline “champion” conduct regular guideline use audit and feedback for other physicians	Establish practical and efficient guideline use performance measurement and reporting processes for coaches and those who provide clinical services in sports settings
<b>Organizational</b>			
Systems interventions	Align external systems (funding, political agendas, community expectations, media etc) to support intervention implementation	Hospital, clinic and political leaders advocate for review and update of external systems and processes to support guideline use	School, club, college, university, and community leaders identify and advocate for changes to external systems and processes that impede guideline use by coaches and those who provide clinical services in sports settings
Facilitative administration	Remove organizational barriers to intervention implementation (eg, culture, structures, processes, procedures, and resource allocation)	Develop and revise hospital and clinic policies and procedures to support guideline use	Review and regularly update internal school, club and community organization systems and processes to support guideline use

*(continued)*

**Table 2. (continued)**

Implementation Driver	Purpose of Driver	How driver could be used to improve use of and compliance with, recognized sport-related concussion diagnosis, management and return-to-play guidelines in clinical and sports settings	
		Clinical Setting (eg, Family and Emergency Physicians)	Sports Setting (eg, Schools, Community Clubs, Colleges and Universities)
Decision support data systems	Facilitate continuous quality improvement by gathering and using intervention implementation process and impact data	Link guidelines to electronic health records at the point of care including quality reporting capacity	Establish a practical system to measure, report and provide feedback on guideline use within schools, clubs, colleges, universities and community organizations
<b>Leadership</b>			
Technical	Provide operational leadership necessary to implement the intervention once parameters are defined (eg, lead by example, planning, organization, procedures)	Hospital and clinic leaders and documents provide technical advice on guideline use to physicians where required	School, club, college, university, and community leaders actively engage with coaches, athletic trainers and others who provide clinical services in sports settings, to overcome barriers to guideline adoption and use
Adaptive	Provide strategic or managerial leadership for intervention implementation (eg, culture, vision, policies, and resource allocation)	Hospital and clinic leaders align overall mission, values, and philosophy of the hospitals and clinics with guideline use	National sporting, education and political leaders endorse and effectively communicate their support for guideline use to coaches, athletic trainers and others who provide clinical services in sports settings

could be applied to enhance the use of sport-related concussion guidelines in clinical and sports settings. Developing and operationalizing strategies that target each of these implementation drivers should, in principle, help to maximize the likelihood that those in clinical and sports settings responsible for diagnosing, managing, and making return-to-play decisions about concussed athletes are knowledgeable about, and skilled in the use of, recommended sport-related concussion guidelines. These strategies are also likely to create environments (eg, supportive systems, policies, procedures, and leaders) that encourage and assist physicians and

sports personnel in their guideline implementation efforts.

In addition to informing the development of strategies to facilitate the use of available concussion guidelines among physicians and those involved in the sports sector, physicians themselves could also use these implementation drivers to guide their efforts to encourage and support individual patients to comply with concussion-related advice. For example, physicians could step outside their clinics and begin to work in partnership with their local communities (sporting clubs, schools, or community groups) to address the issue of the appropriate

diagnosis, management and return-to-play of concussed athletes. This could include providing information, education, training, and mentoring to local sports coaches and athletic trainers about the available concussion guidelines, including when and how to use them. Physicians could also work with local club and school/college sports administrators to develop effective policies, systems, and procedures that encourage and support athletic trainers, coaches, athletes, and parents to follow the diagnosis, management, and return-to-play advice contained in the concussion guidelines. Finally, physicians could provide

leadership, perhaps at a regional administrative rather than individual club or school level, to drive the cultural change that may be required to initiate and sustain the use of, and compliance with, available guidelines within their communities.

## Conclusion

Sports injuries are a significant public health and clinical concern. A range of evidence-based interventions and guidelines are available to assist physicians and others who care for injured athletes, and research attention has begun to focus more on the translation of these interventions into routine practice. For example, guidelines to aid in the diagnosis and management of sport-related concussion and related return-to-sport decisions have been publicly available in various forms since at least 1986.<sup>113</sup> Yet, as the research summarized in Table 1 of this review strongly indicates, physicians (including family and emergency physicians) and others who care for athletes with sport-related concussion (athletic trainers, coaches and parents) do not routinely know about, use, or comply with such guidelines. Although there has been some recent discussion about the use of knowledge translation theory and social media platforms, there have been no well-planned or coordinated efforts to enhance the knowledge or use of sport-related concussion guidelines among physicians.

Implementation science is a growing field of research that offers the potential to inform the development of targeted and effective strategies to enhance the use of, and compliance with, readily available guidelines among those responsible for diagnosing and managing sport-related concussion. In particular, a multilevel focus on improving competency in the use of such guidelines among all those who care for concussed athletes, developing organizational systems and procedures that encourage and support guideline use, and providing

technical and cultural leadership offer significant opportunities to enhance the translation of evidence into practice in this important area of sports medicine.

## Acknowledgments

The research with Australian general practitioners presented in this article was part of the work undertaken for a Victorian Sports Injury Prevention Research Grant from the Department of Planning and Community Development, Victoria, Australia. That project's larger chief investigator team included all co-authors of this paper as well as Angela Clapperton, Willem Meeuwisse, Michael Ewing, John Sullivan, Hugh Seward, and Carolyn Emery. PW's salary was paid from this grant. CFF was supported by a National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Principal Research Fellowship (ID: 565900). PM is supported by a NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship. AD's salary was supported by an NHMRC Partnership Project Grant (ID: 565907). The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health acknowledges support from the Victorian Government, in particular the funding from the Operational Infrastructure Support Grant. The funding agencies were not involved in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data, nor was it involved in any decisions regarding the publication of data. The Australian Centre for Research into Injury in Sport and its Prevention (ACRISP) is one of four international research centers that are supported through the International Olympic Committee's Medical Research Network. The authors would like to thank David Sleet for his insightful feedback on early drafts of this article. [AJLM](#)

## References

- Blair SN. Physical inactivity: the biggest public health problem of the 21st century. *Br J Sports Med.* 2009;43:1-2.
- Finch C, Owen N. Injury prevention and the promotion of physical activity: what is the nexus? *J Sci Med Sport.* 2001;4:77-87.
- Finch C, Cassell E. The public health impact of injury during sport and active recreation. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2006;9:490-497.
- Darrow CJ, Collins CL, Yard EE, Comstock RD. Epidemiology of severe injuries among United States high school athletes 2005-2007. *Am J Sports Med.* 2009;37:1798-1805.
- Baarveld F, Visser CAN, Kollen BJ, Backx FJG. Sports-related injuries in primary health care. *Fam Pract.* 2011;28:29-33.
- Cumps E, Verhagen E, Annemans L, Meeusen R. Injury rate and socioeconomic costs resulting from sports injuries in Flanders: data derived from sports insurance statistics 2003. *Br J Sports Med.* 2008;42:767-772.
- Knowles SB, Marshall SW, Miller T, et al. Cost of injuries from a prospective cohort study of North Carolina high school athletes. *Inj Prev.* 2007;13:416-421.
- Finch CF, Owen N, Price R. Current injury or disability as a barrier to being more physically active. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2001;33:778-782.
- Andrew N, Wolfe R, Cameron P, et al. The impact of sport and active recreation injuries on physical activity levels at 12 months post-injury. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2014;24:377-385.
- Boufous S, Finch C, Bauman A. Parental safety concerns—a barrier to sport and physical activity in children? *Aust N Z J Public Health.* 2004;28:482-486.
- Dvorák J. Give Hippocrates a jersey. Promoting health through football/sport. *Br J Sports Med.* 2009;43:317-322.
- Fuller CW. Managing the risk of injury in sport. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2007;17:182-187.
- Schiff MA, Caine DJ, O'Halloran R. Injury prevention in sports. *Am J Lifestyle Med.* 2010;4:42-64.
- Aaltonen S, Karjalainen H, Heinonen A, Parkkari J, Kujala UM. Prevention of sports injuries: systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007;167:1585-1592.
- Steffen K, Andersen TE, Krosshaug T, et al. ECSS position statement 2009: prevention of acute sports injuries. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2010;10:223-236.
- Abernethy L, Bleakley C. Strategies to prevent injury in adolescent sport: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2007;41:627-638.
- Parkkari J, Kujala UM, Kannus P. Is it possible to prevent sports injuries? Review of controlled clinical trials and recommendations for future work. *Sports Med.* 2001;31:985-995.
- Finch CF. No longer lost in translation: the art and science of sports injury prevention implementation research. *Br J Sports Med.* 2011;45:1253-1257.
- Finch CF, Donaldson A. A sports setting matrix for understanding the implementation context for community sport. *Br J Sports Med.* 2010;44:973-978.
- Finch C. A new framework for research leading to sports injury prevention. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2006;9:3-9.
- Donaldson A, Finch CF. Planning for implementation and translation: seek first to understand the end-users' perspectives. *Br J Sports Med.* 2012;46:306-307.
- Klugl M, Shrier I, McBain K, et al. The prevention of sport injury: an analysis of 12 000 published manuscripts. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2010;20:407-412.

23. Chalmers DJ. Injury prevention in sport: not yet part of the game? *Inj Prev*. 2002;8(suppl 4):iv22-iv25.
24. Chalmers S, Magarey ME, Scase E. Junior Australian football injury research: are we moving forward? *Phys Ther Sport*. 2013;14:175-182.
25. Chalmers DJ, Simpson JC, Depree R. Tackling Rugby injury: lessons learned from the implementation of a five-year sports injury prevention program. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2004;7:74-84.
26. Donaldson A, Finch CF. Applying implementation science to sports injury prevention. *Br J Sports Med*. 2013;47:473-475.
27. Finch CF. Implementation and dissemination research: the time has come! *Br J Sports Med*. 2011;45:763-764.
28. Hanson D, Allegrante JP, Sleet DA, Finch CF. Research alone is not sufficient to prevent sports injury. *Br J Sports Med*. 2014;48:682-684.
29. Verhagen E, Finch CF. Setting our minds to implementation. *Br J Sports Med*. 2011;45:1015-1016.
30. Brooks M, McGuine TA. Translating cost-effective injury prevention research into sustainable change on the playing field: the youth injury epidemic. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2011;165:1049-1050.
31. Viljoen W, Patricios J. BokSmart—implementing a National Rugby Safety Programme. *Br J Sports Med*. 2012;46:692-693.
32. Finch CF, Gabbe BJ, Lloyd DG, et al. Towards a national sports safety strategy: addressing facilitators and barriers towards safety guideline uptake. *Inj Prev*. 2011;17:e4.
33. Finch CF, McCrory P, Ewing MT, Sullivan SJ. Concussion guidelines need to move from only expert content to also include implementation and dissemination strategies. *Br J Sports Med*. 2013;47:12-14.
34. Provvienza C, Engebretsen L, Tator C, et al. From consensus to action: knowledge transfer, education and influencing policy on sports concussion. *Br J Sports Med*. 2013;47:332-338.
35. Provvienza CF, Johnston KM. Knowledge transfer principles as applied to sport concussion education. *Br J Sports Med*. 2009;43(suppl 1):i68-i75.
36. Keats MR, Emery CA, Finch CF. Are we having fun yet? Fostering adherence to injury preventive exercise recommendations in young athletes. *Sports Med*. 2012;42:175-184.
37. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. *Am J Public Health*. 1999;89:1322-1327.
38. Bartholomew LK, Parcel GS, Kok G, Gottlieb NH, Fernandez ME. *Planning Health Promotion Programs. An Intervention Mapping Approach*. 3rd ed. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 2011.
39. McLeroy KR, Bibeau D, Steckler A, Glanz K. An ecological perspective on health promotion programs. *Health Educ Q*. 1988;15:351-377.
40. Ajzen I. The theory of planned behavior. *Organ Behav Hum Decis Process*. 1991;50:179-211.
41. McGlashan AJ, Finch CF. The extent to which behavioural and social sciences theories and models are used in sport injury prevention research. *Sports Med*. 2010;40:841-858.
42. Rogers E. *Diffusion of Innovations*. 5th ed. New York, NY: Free Press; 2003.
43. Blase K, Van Dyke M, Fixsen D, Wallace Bailey F. Implementation science: key concepts, themes, and evidence for practitioners in educational psychology. In: Kelly B, Perkins D, eds. *Handbook of Implementation Science for Psychology in Education*. London, England: Cambridge University Press; 2012:13-34.
44. Verhagen E, Voogt N, Bruinsma A, Finch CF. A knowledge transfer scheme to bridge the gap between science and practice: an integration of existing research frameworks into a tool for practice. *Br J Sports Med*. 2013;48:698-701.
45. van Tiggelán D, Wickes S, Stevens V, Roosen P, Witvrouw E. Effective prevention of sports injuries: a model integrating efficacy, efficiency, compliance and risk-taking. *Br J Sports Med*. 2008;42:648-652.
46. Timpka T, Ekstrand J, Svanström L. From sports injury prevention to safety promotion in sports. *Sports Med*. 2006;36:733-745.
47. Richmond SA, McKay CD, Emery CA. Knowledge translation in sport injury prevention research: an example in youth ice hockey in Canada. *Br J Sports Med*. 2014;48:941-942.
48. Junge A, Lamprecht M, Stamm H, et al. Countrywide campaign to prevent soccer injuries in Swiss amateur players. *Am J Sports Med*. 2011;39:57-63.
49. Myklebust G, Skjølberg A, Bahr RH. ACL injury incidence in female handball 10 years after the Norwegian ACL prevention study: a success story. *Br J Sports Med*. 2013;47:476-479.
50. Bizzini M, Junge A, Dvorak J. Implementation of the FIFA 11+ football warm up program: how to approach and convince the football associations to invest in prevention. *Br J Sports Med*. 2013;47:803-806.
51. Gianotti S, Hume PA, Tunstall H. Efficacy of injury prevention related coach education within netball and soccer. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2010;13:32-35.
52. Covassin T, Elbin RJ, Sarmiento K. Educating coaches about concussion in sports: evaluation of the CDC's "Heads Up: Concussion in Youth Sports" initiative. *J School Health*. 2012;82:233-238.
53. Donaldson A, Poulos RG. Planning the diffusion of a neck-injury prevention programme among community rugby union coaches. *Br J Sports Med*. 2014;48:151-159.
54. Donaldson A, Leggett S, Finch CF. Sports policy development and implementation in context: researching and understanding the perceptions of community end-users. *Int Rev Sociol Sport*. 2012;47:743-760.
55. Joy EA, Taylor JR, Novak MA, Chen M, Fink BP, Porucznik CA. Factors influencing the implementation of anterior cruciate ligament injury prevention strategies by girls soccer coaches. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2013;27:2263-2269.
56. Saunders N, Otago L, Romiti M, Donaldson A, White P, Finch CF. Coaches' perspectives on implementing an evidence-informed injury prevention programme in junior community netball. *Br J Sports Med*. 2010;44:1128-1132.
57. White PE, Ullah S, Donaldson A, et al. Encouraging junior community netball players to learn correct safe landing technique. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2012;15:19-24.
58. Steffen K, Meeuwisse WH, Romiti M, et al. Evaluation of how different implementation strategies of an injury prevention programme (FIFA 11+) impact team adherence and injury risk in Canadian female youth football players: a cluster-randomised trial. *Br J Sports Med*. 2013;47:480-487.
59. Bien DP. Rationale and implementation of anterior cruciate ligament injury prevention warm-up programs in female athletes. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2011;25:271-285.
60. Soligard T, Nilstad A, Steffen K, et al. Compliance with a comprehensive warm-up programme to prevent injuries in youth football. *Br J Sports Med*. 2010;44:787-793.
61. Verhagen EALM, Hupperets MDW, Finch CF, Van Mechelen W. The impact of adherence on sports injury prevention effect estimates in randomised controlled trials: looking beyond the CONSORT statement. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2011;14:287-292.

62. Hollis SJ, Stevenson MR, McIntosh AS, Shores EA, Finch CF. Compliance with return-to-play regulations following concussion in Australian schoolboy and community rugby union players. *Br J Sports Med.* 2012;46:735-740.
63. Poulos RG, Donaldson A. Is sports safety policy being translated into practice: what can be learnt from the Australian rugby union Mayday procedure? *Br J Sports Med.* 2012;46:585-590.
64. Häggglund M, Atroshi I, Wagner P, Waldén M. Superior compliance with a neuromuscular training programme is associated with fewer ACL injuries and fewer acute knee injuries in female adolescent football players: secondary analysis of an RCT. *Br J Sports Med.* 2013;47:974-979.
65. Twomey D, Finch C, Roediger E, Lloyd DG. Preventing lower limb injuries: is the latest evidence being translated into the football field? *J Sci Med Sport.* 2009;12:452-456.
66. Chinn NR, Porter P. Concussion management in community college athletics: revealing and understanding the gap between knowledge and practice. *Community Coll J Res Pract.* 2013;37:409-423.
67. Lebrun CM, Mrazik M, Prasad AS, et al. Sport concussion knowledge base, clinical practises and needs for continuing medical education: a survey of family physicians and cross-border comparison. *Br J Sports Med.* 2013;47:54-59.
68. Mrazik M, Bawani F, Krol AL. Sport-related concussions: knowledge translation among minor hockey coaches. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2011; 21:315-319.
69. Yard EE, Comstock RD. Compliance with return to play guidelines following concussion in US high school athletes, 2005-2008. *Brain Inj.* 2009;23:888-898.
70. Luke AC, Bergeron MF, Roberts WO. Heat injury prevention practices in high school football. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2007;17:488-493.
71. Sugimoto D, Myer GD, Bush HM, Klugman MF, Jennifer MMM, Hewett TE. Compliance with neuromuscular training and anterior cruciate ligament injury risk reduction in female athletes: a meta-analysis. *J Athl Train.* 2012;47:714-723.
72. Hawn KL, Visser MF, Sexton PJ. Enforcement of mouthguard use and athlete compliance in National Collegiate Athletic Association men's collegiate ice hockey competition. *J Athl Train.* 2002;37:204-208.
73. Olympia RP, Dixon T, Brady J, Avner JR. Emergency planning in school-based athletics: a national survey of athletic trainers. *Pediatr Emerg Care.* 2007;23: 703-708.
74. Steffen K, Emery CA, Romiti M, et al. High adherence to a neuromuscular injury prevention programme (FIFA 11+) improves functional balance and reduces injury risk in Canadian youth female football players: a cluster randomised trial. *Br J Sports Med.* 2013;47:794-802.
75. Orr B, Brown C, Hemsing J, et al. Female soccer knee injury: observed knowledge gaps in injury prevention among players/parents/coaches and current evidence (the KNOW study). *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2013;23:271-280.
76. Biagi R, Cardarelli F, Butti AC, Salvato A. Sports-related dental injuries: knowledge of first aid and mouthguard use in a sample of Italian children and youngsters. *Eur J Paediatr Dent.* 2010;11:66-70.
77. Hume PA, Steele JR. A preliminary investigation of injury prevention strategies in netball: are players heeding the advice? *J Sci Med Sport.* 2000;3:406-413.
78. Price J, Malliaras P, Hudson Z. Current practices in determining return to play following head injury in professional football in the UK. *Br J Sports Med.* 2012;46:1000-1003.
79. Giebel S, Kothari R, Koestner A, Mohney G, Baker R. Factors influencing emergency medicine physicians' management of sports-related concussions: a community-wide study. *J Emerg Med.* 2011;41:649-654.
80. Pleacher MD, Dexter WW. Concussion management by primary care providers. *Br J Sports Med.* 2006;40:e2.
81. Bazarian JJ, Veenema T, Brayer AF, Lee E. Knowledge of concussion guidelines among practitioners caring for children. *Clin Pediatr.* 2001;40:207-212.
82. Notebaert AJ, Guskiewicz KM. Current trends in athletic training practice for concussion assessment and management. *J Athl Train.* 2005;40:320-325.
83. Bramley H, Kroft C, Polk D, Newberry T, Silvis M. Do youth hockey coaches allow players with a known concussion to participate in a game? *Clin Pediatr.* 2012;51:283-287.
84. Jinna S, Adams BB. Ultraviolet radiation and the athlete: risk, sun safety, and barriers to implementation of protective strategies. *Sports Med.* 2013;43:531-537.
85. White PE, Newton JD, Makdissi M, et al. Knowledge about sports-related concussion: is the message getting through to coaches and trainers? *Br J Sports Med.* 2014;48:119-124.
86. White PE, Otago L, Saunders N, et al. Ensuring implementation success: how should coach injury prevention education be improved if we want coaches to deliver safety programmes during training sessions? *Br J Sports Med.* 2014;48:402-403.
87. Notebaert AJ, Guskiewicz KM. Current trends in athletic training practice for concussion assessment and management. *J Athl Train.* 2005;40:320-325.
88. Buller DB, Andersen PA, Walkosz BJ, et al. Compliance with sunscreen advice in a survey of adults engaged in outdoor winter recreation at high-elevation ski areas. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2012;66:63-70.
89. Fazarale JJ, Magnussen RA, Pedroza AD, Kaeding CC. Knowledge of and compliance with pitch count recommendations: a survey of youth baseball coaches. *Sports Health.* 2012;4:202-204.
90. Verhagen EALM, van Mechelen W. Sport for all, injury prevention for all. *Br J Sports Med.* 2010;44:158.
91. Owen N, Glanz K, Sallis JF, Kelder SH. Evidence-based approaches to dissemination and diffusion of physical activity interventions. *Am J Prev Med.* 2006;31:S35-S44.
92. Glanz K, Elliott T, Steffen A, O'Riordan D. Diffusion of an effective skin cancer prevention program: design, theoretical foundations, and first-year implementation. *Health Psychol.* 2005;24:477-487.
93. Green LW. Making research relevant: if it is an evidence-based practice, where's the practice-based evidence? *Fam Pract.* 2008;25(suppl 1):i20-i24.
94. Fixsen D, Naoom S, Blase K, Friedman R, Wallace F. *Implementation research: a synthesis of the literature.* Tampa, FL: University of South Florida, Louis de la Parte Florida Mental Health Institute, The National Implementation Research Network (FMHI Publication #231); 2005.
95. Cabana MD, Rand CS, Powe NR, et al. Why don't physicians follow clinical practice guidelines? A framework for improvement. *JAMA.* 1999;282:1458-1465.
96. Mickan S, Burls A, Glasziou P. Patterns of "leakage" in the utilisation of clinical guidelines: a systematic review. *Postgrad Med J.* 2011;87:670-679.
97. Woolf SH, Grol R, Hutchinson A, Eccles M, Grimshaw J. Clinical guidelines. Potential benefits, limitations, and harms of clinical guidelines. *BMJ.* 1999;318:527-530.
98. West TA, Marion DW. Current recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of concussion in sport: a comparison of three new guidelines. *J Neurotrauma.* 2014;31:159-168.

99. Langlois JA, Rutland-Brown W, Wald MM. The epidemiology and impact of traumatic brain injury: a brief overview. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2006;21:375-378.
100. Delaney JS, Lacroix VJ, Leclerc S, Johnston KM. Concussions among university football and soccer players. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2002;12:331-338.
101. Patricios JS, Collins R, Roberts C. Zurich 2012: our cohort of "concussionologists"—conveying consensus. *Br J Sports Med.* 2013;47:9-11.
102. Guilmette TJ, Malia LA, McQuiggan MD. Concussion understanding and management among New England high school football coaches. *Brain Inj.* 2007;21:1039-1047.
103. Johnston KM, McCrory P, Mohtadi NG, Meeuwisse W. Evidence-based review of sport-related concussion: clinical science. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2001;11:150-159.
104. Lovell M. The management of sports-related concussion: current status and future trends. *Clin Sports Med.* 2009;28:95-111.
105. Harmon KG, Drezner J, Gammons M, et al. American Medical Society for Sports Medicine position statement: concussion in sport. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2013;23:1-18.
106. Giza CC, Kutcher JS, Ashwal S, et al. Summary of evidence-based guideline update: evaluation and management of concussion in sports. *Neurology.* 2013;80:2250-2257.
107. McCrory P, Meeuwisse WH, Aubry M, et al. Consensus statement on concussion in sport: the 4th International Conference on Concussion in Sport held in Zurich, November 2012. *Br J Sports Med.* 2013;47:250-258.
108. Aubry M, Cantu RC, Dvorak J, et al. Summary and agreement statement of the First International Conference on Concussion in Sport, Vienna 2001. Recommendations for the improvement of safety and health of athletes who may suffer concussive injuries. *Br J Sports Med.* 2002;36:6-10.
109. McCrory P, Johnston K, Meeuwisse W, et al. Summary and agreement statement of the 2nd International Conference on Concussion in Sport, Prague 2004. *Br J Sports Med.* 2005;39:196-204.
110. McCrory P, Meeuwisse W, Johnston K, et al. Consensus statement on concussion in sport—the Third International Conference on Concussion in Sport held in Zurich, November 2008. *Phys Sportsmed.* 2009;37:141-159.
111. Harvey HH. Reducing traumatic brain injuries in youth sports: youth sports traumatic brain injury state laws, January 2009-December 2012. *Am J Public Health.* 2013;103:1249-1254.
112. National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Get a heads up on concussion in sports policies: information for parents, coaches, and school & sports professionals. <http://www.cdc.gov/concussion/pdf/HeadsUpOnConcussionInSportsPolicies-a.pdf>. Accessed November 25, 2013
113. Cantu R. Guidelines for return to contact sports after a cerebral concussion. *Phys Sportsmed.* 1986;14:75-83.
114. Genuardi FJ, King WD. Inappropriate discharge instructions for youth athletes hospitalized for concussion. *Pediatrics.* 1995;95:216-218.
115. Ferrara MS, McCreary M, Peterson CL, Guskiewicz KM. A survey of practice patterns in concussion assessment and management. *J Athl Train.* 2001;36:145-149.
116. Sye G, Sullivan SJ, McCrory P. High school rugby players' understanding of concussion and return to play guidelines. *Br J Sports Med.* 2006;40:1003-1004.
117. Covassin T, Elbin R 3rd, Stiller-Ostrowski JL. Current sport-related concussion teaching and clinical practices of sports medicine professionals. *J Athl Train.* 2009;44:400-404.
118. Boggild M, Tator CH. Concussion knowledge among medical students and neurology/neurosurgery residents. *Can J Neurol Sci.* 2012;39:361-368.
119. Burke MJ, Chundamala J, Tator CH. Deficiencies in concussion education in Canadian medical schools. *Can J Neurol Sci.* 2012;39:763-766.
120. Valovich McLeod TC, Schwartz C, Bay RC. Sport-related concussion misunderstandings among youth coaches. *Clin J Sports Med.* 2007;17:140-142.
121. Cusimano M. Canadian minor hockey participants' knowledge about concussion. *Can J Neurol Sci.* 2009;36:315-320.
122. O'Donoghue E, Onate J, Van Lunen B, Peterson CL. Assessment of high school coaches' knowledge of sport-related concussions. *Athl Train Sports Health Care.* 2009;1:120-132.
123. Sullivan SJ, Bourne L, Choie S, et al. Understanding of sport concussion by the parents of young rugby players: a pilot study. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2009;19:228-230.
124. Broglio SP, Vagnozzi R, Sabin M, Signoretti S, Tavazzi B, Lazzarino G. Concussion occurrence and knowledge in Italian football (soccer). *J Sports Sci Med.* 2010;9:418-430.
125. Boffano P, Boffano M, Gallesio C, Rocchia F, Cignetti R, Piana R. Rugby players' awareness of concussion. *J Craniofac Surg.* 2011;22:2053-2056.
126. Murphy A, Kaufman MS, Molton I, Coppel DB, Benson J, Herring SA. Concussion evaluation methods among Washington State high school football coaches and athletic trainers. *PM R.* 2012;4:419-426.
127. Davies P, Walker AE, Grimshaw JM. A systematic review of the use of theory in the design of guideline dissemination and implementation strategies and interpretation of the results of rigorous evaluations. *Implement Sci.* 2010;5:14.
128. Grimshaw JM, Thomas RE, MacLennan G, et al. Effectiveness and efficiency of guideline dissemination and implementation strategies. *Health Technol Assess.* 2004;8:iii-iv, 1-72.
129. Echlin PS, Johnson AM, Riverin S, et al. A prospective study of concussion education in 2 junior ice hockey teams: implications for sports concussion education. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2010;29:E6.
130. Sarmiento K, Mitchko J, Klein C, Wong S. Evaluation of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's concussion initiative for high school coaches: "Heads Up: Concussion in High School Sports". *J Sch Health.* 2010;80:112-118.
131. Sullivan SJ, Schneiders AG, Cheang CW, et al. What's happening? A content analysis of concussion-related traffic on Twitter. *Br J Sports Med.* 2012;46:258-263.
132. Lugtenberg M, Burgers JS, Westert GP. Effects of evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on quality of care: a systematic review. *Qual Saf Health Care.* 2009;18:385-392.
133. Grimshaw J, Eccles M, Thomas R, et al. Toward evidence-based quality improvement: evidence (and its limitations) of the effectiveness of guideline dissemination and implementation strategies 1966-1998. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2006;21(suppl 2):S14-S20.
134. Eccles MP, Mittman BS. Welcome to implementation science. *Implement Sci.* 2006;1:1.
135. Greenhalgh T, Robert G, Macfarlane F, Bate P, Kyriakidou O. Diffusion of innovations in service organizations: systematic review and recommendations. *Milbank Q.* 2004;82:581-629.
136. Wandersman A, Duffy J, Flaspohler P, et al. Bridging the gap between prevention research and practice: the interactive systems framework for dissemination and implementation. *Am J Community Psychol.* 2008;41:171-181.

137. Rycroft-Malone J, Bucknall T, eds. *Models and Frameworks for Implementing Evidence-Based Practice: Linking Evidence to Action*. Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.
138. Meyers DC, Durlak JA, Wandersman A. The quality implementation framework: a synthesis of critical steps in the implementation process. *Am J Community Psychol*. 2012;50:462-480.
139. Damschroder L, Aron D, Keith R, Kirsh S, Alexander J, Lowery J. Fostering implementation of health services research findings into practice: a consolidated framework for advancing implementation science. *Implement Sci*. 2009;4:50.
140. Glasgow RE, Green LW, Taylor MV, Stange KC. An evidence integration triangle for aligning science with policy and practice. *Am J Prev Med*. 2012;42:646-654.
141. Fixsen DL, Blase KA, Naoom SF, Wallace F. Core implementation components. *Res Soc Work Pract*. 2009;19:531-540.